



# The Role of Lymphadenectomy in Gynaecological Cancer Surgery

**Tim Mould** 

**Consultant Gynaecological Oncologist** UCL Institute for Women's Health University College London Hospitals





# **Principles of surgical treatment -**

#### **1.** Remove the primary tumour



2. Consider if there might be spread to the local area

wide excision for margins

Consider if there might be spread to the lymph nodes



# **Rationale to remove nodes -**

- Determine the need for adjuvant treatments
- Therapeutic effect
- Document the extent of disease spread
  - Allow comparative evaluation / trials
- Can we use sentinel node in gynaecology?



- Endometrial cancer
  - Select adjuvant treatments
  - ? Therapeutic effect
- Ovarian cancer
  - Select adjuvant treatment in early stage disease
  - Therapeutic effect in late stage disease
- Cervical cancer
  - Select adjuvant treatment
  - Development of sentinel nodes
- Vulval cancer
  - Sentinel node technique to guide adjuvant treatment



### Endometrial Cancer -survival curves: 6864 cases treated 1996-8

(FIGO annual report Int J Gyn Obs 2003)





- Size > 2cm
- G3 endometroid, serous, carcino sarcoma, clear cell
- Depth invasion > 50%
- LVSI
- Stage 2 cervix involved





### What should nodal dissection be?

### Not standardised at present Should depend on the patterns of spread





# Main route of Spread via nodes – pelvic nodal metastases

G2 **G1** G3 3 5 9 Inner Middle 9 0 4 Outer 11 19 34

• Creasman et al 1987



• Creasman et al 1987

	G1	G2	G3
Inner	1	4	4
Middle	5	0	0
Outer	6	14	23



### What nodes to remove –

t i



#### **Pelvic node dissection**

#### Para aortic node dissection up to renal vessels

#### Include tissue along gonadal vessels





#### +ve nodes

### • Increase treatment - extended RT or Chemo 40% survival if extended RT for +ve PA nodes Rose et al 1992

#### -ve nodes

• Decrease treatment - vault brachy / no RT



#### A therapeutic role - randomised trials

#### **ASTEC surgical results: Overall survival**





**ASTEC patient and surgery details** 

- only 20% pt G3 or 1c
- median number of nodes = 12



#### A therapeutic role - randomised trials

#### Panici et al results: Overall survival



Figure 3. Overall survival for patients with clinical early-stage endometrial cancer undergoing systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy (Lymphad.) vs those undergoing resection of bulky lymph nodes only (No lymph). All statistical tests were two-sided.



#### Panici et al patients and surgery details

- 50% deep invasion
- 33% G3
- mean nodes 26



#### Chan et al 2007

#### No effect in low risk disease Depends on numbers of nodes Depends on extent of nodal dissection



	Lymphadenectomy	No Lymphadenectomy	p-value
Stage IB grade3	91.7% (n=1,070)	89.1% (n=852)	p=0.048
Stage IC grade3	81.7% (n=483)	76.3% (n=401)	p=0.058

Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier disease-specific survival of stage I grade 3 endometrioid uterine cancer patients based on lymphadenectomy.



#### Extended PA dissection vs limited PA procedure Mayo clinic data



### A therapeutic role – retrospective cohort studies

SEPAL study – pelvic node vs. pelvic / PA node dissection





Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall (A), disease-specific (B), and recurrence-free (C) survival for patients with endometrial carcinoma according to type of lymphadenectomy and risk of recurrence





#### **SEPAL study** – pelvic node vs. pelvic / PA node dissection

	Number of deaths/ number of patients in group		Hazard ratio (95% CI)		p value
	Pelvic lymphadenectomy	Pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy			
Low risk Intermediate risk Radiotherapy Chemotherapy High risk Radiotherapy Chemotherapy Total	13/131 32/124 21/56 7/42 38/70 15/19 20/46 83/325	6/133 — 13/116 0/1 12/82 30/97 0/1 20/81 49/346		0.45 (0.17-1.19) 0.43 (0.23-0.82)  - 0.68 (0.26-1.73) 0.50 (0.31-0.81)  0.53 (0.28-0.99) 0.53 (0.38-0.76)	0.11 0.0106  0.43 0.0051  0.0448 0.0005
		0·1 0·2 Pelvic and para lymphadenect	0.5 1.0 a-aortic omy better	2.0 5.0 10.0 Pelvic lymphadenectom, better	y





- retrospective, cluster study, long time period
- selection bias
- no surgery/morbidity details
- difference in chemo rates



- Tailoring adjuvant therapy based on node status may limit toxicity with equal survival
- Improvement in survival may require systemic therapy
- Lymphadenectomy is not independently therapeutic
- Sentinel node biopsy may be as effective as full lymphadenectomy to triage patients to adjuvant therapy



### **STATEC**





- Laparoscopic, robotic, or open
- Anatomical landmarks above IMA expected but not mandated
- Quality assurance photo graphs, centre review if inadequate
- Adjuvant treatment Portec regime, randomisation by centre
- Sentinel node Te99, blue dye, indocyanin green



### Full dissection of pelvic and para aortic nodes

- Is not helpful in low risk disease – 2cm, G1-2, <50% invasion, no LVSI
- But in high risk disease
  Can guide adjuvant treatment

Is not therapeutic in randomised studies
Is therapeutic in retrospective case series studies

• **STATEC** – tailoring adjuvant therapy to limit toxicity



### **Apparent early stage - Isolated ovarian abnormality:**

### remove ovary +/- other ovary and uterus + washings + pelvic/pa nodes, omentectomy

- 20-25% upstaged
- usually to Stage 3 (+ve nodes = 3c or omentum = 3a)
- determines need for chemo



## Aletti et al 2006 - surgeons operating in same centre 194 cases

Surgery type Standard URS % achieving optimal debulk
51%
84.5%

Multivariate analysis – Equally extensive disease and good performance status

## Significant survival benefit p < 0.001 For surgeons frequently performing URS



### Bristow et al 2002 – meta analysis

## **Every 10% improvement in optimal debulking rate**

## 5% improvement in overall survival





**Cervical cancer** – guiding adjuvant treatment Pelvic nodes in stage 1a2 and 1b1

#### +ve nodes

- Increase treatment chemo RT
- -ve nodes
- Surgery alone less morbidity



Cervical cancer – guiding adjuvant treatment Pelvic nodes in stage 1a2 and 1b1

### ? Need for block dissection of lymph nodes

- Sentinel node – blue dye and technesium – laparoscopic

If positive, abandon surgery for chemo RT

If negative, continue pelvic node dissection Rob et al, Gynecol Oncol 2005

Sentinel node technique – more sensitive, detects unusual sites of drainage ? Should become standard practice

Gortzak-Uzan Gynecol Oncol 2010 87 cases with controls - 17% vs 7% Cibula et al Gynecol Oncol 2012 645 pt Bats et al Ann Surg Oncol 2013 145 pt



Cervical cancer – guiding adjuvant treatment Para aortic nodes in **Stage 1b2 and above** 

#### Laparoscopic retro peritoneal para-aortic lymphadenectomy

Stage 2b onwards treated by Chemo-Radiotherapy

Stage 2b - radiologically negative para-aortic nodes,

+ve histologically 15%

- para-aortic radiotherapy



#### **Retro peritoneal Para aortic node dissection**





### Vulval cancer –

#### radical local excision + groin node staging



### Radiation to groins and pelvis if nodes +ve



# **Traditional groin node operation**







## **Sentinel node surgery**



Radiation to groins and pelvis if sentinel node positive GROINSS study



### Conclusions

- Endometrial cancer
  - Select adjuvant treatments to minimise morbidity of adjuvant treatments
  - Therapeutic effect seen in retrospective trials
- Ovarian cancer
  - Select adjuvant treatment in early stage disease
  - Debulking in stage 3/4 Therapeutic effect
- Cervical cancer
  - Select / Guide adjuvant treatment
  - Development of sentinel nodes
- Vulval cancer
  - Sentinel node technique to guide adjuvant treatment
### New markers provide new answers in malignant ovarian germ cell tumours



#### Francisco F Nogales University of Granada

#### WHO 2014

Germ cell tumours	
Dysgerminoma	9060/3
Yolk sac tumour	9071/3
Embryonal carcinoma	9070/3
Non-gestational choriocarcinoma	9100/3
Mature teratoma	9080/0
Immature teratoma	9080/3
Mixed germ cell tumour	,9085/3
Monodermal teratoma and somatic-type tumou	rs
arising from a dermoid cyst	
Struma ovarii, benign	9090/0
Struma ovarii, malignant	9090/3
Carcinoid	8240/3
Strumal carcinoid	9091/1
Mucinous carcinoid	8243/3
Neuroectodermal-type tumours	
Sebaceous tumours	
Sebaceous adenoma	8410/0
Sebaceous carcinoma	8410/3
Other rare monodermal teratomas	
Carcinomas	
Squamous cell carcinoma	8070/3
Others	
Germ cell - sex cord-stromal tumours	
Gonadoblastoma, including gonadoblastoma	
with malignant germ cell tumour	9073/1
Mixed germ cell-sex cord-	
stromal tumour, unclassified	8594/1*

## *"Germ cell tumours are caricatures of normal embryonal development....." (Pierce 1971)*

Models of comparative embryology/pathology

Tano

MIN.

*"Germ cell tumours are caricatures of normal embryonal development....." (Pierce 1971)* 

- Every normal developmental embryonal stage is caricaturized by a specific GCT type
- Each stage has characteristic markers (both stage-specific (SS) and pluripotency -PPM-)
- Analysis of expression of these markers (PPM and SS) will lead to a more accurate diagnosis of GCT types
- Additional demonstration of tissue-specific markers complement and fine-tune diagnosis based on a PPM expression



# Diagnostic self-renewal and pluripotency markers

#### **OCT4** aka POU5F1, OCT3 or OTF3

- Nuclear transcription factor chromosome 6p21.3
- Blastocyst differentiation
  - Embryonal stem cells of the "inner cell mass"
  - epiblast



gastrulation

**Primordial germ cells** 

Inducing pluripotency

Induces pluripotency of mature cells into iPSC

• Earliest marker (Germinoma & Emb Ca.)

### **OCT4** Primitive germ cells at the dorsal mesentery at 9th week



- Family of three genes SALL chromosome 20q13
- Expressed by cells of epiblast and primordial germ cells
  - Mandatory for endodermal differentiation
  - Not implicated in trophectoderm differentiation

• Expressed by primordial germ cells and embryonal cells retaining pluripotency.

#### SALL4 Meiotic cells ovary @ 11week

#### Lin28

- miRNA binding protein
- Blocks let-7 miRNA activity
- Let-7 diminishes proliferation and induces differentiation
- Lin28 increases proliferation and induces pluripotency

Equivalent marker to SALL 4 (exceptions)





- Factor SRY-box2
- Nuclear transcription factor- chromosome 3q26.33
- Responsible for
  - Development of the "inner cell mass"
- Differentiation of the trophectoderm together with CDX2



- Expression lost in primordial germ cells
- Ideal marker for embryonal Ca and immature neuroepithelium

### Dysgerminoma



### **Dysgerminoma variability**



### **Dysgerminoma - Immuno**



### **Misinterpretations**







"Strumoid"

6





Yolk sac tumours The primitive endodermal tumours









#### SHYS IMMUNOPHENOTYPE

		ANTIBODIES						
Week	#	AFP	GLP3	HepPar-1	Villin	CDX2	SALL4	D2-40
5-6	1	1/1	-	-	-	-	-	-
7-8	15	15/15	15/15	12/14*	11/12*	10/14*	10/13*	15/15
9-11	10	10/10	10/10	9/10	5/9*	9/10	3/8*	8/9*

All antibodies, except for podoplanin D2-40, were expressed in the endodermal layer. Only podoplanin was positive in the mesothelium.

(\*) In some cases, step sections failed to produce a sufficient number of slides to complete the study of some antibodies

#### Alpha-foetoprotein (AFP)

- Member of the albuminoid gene superfamily secreted by both primitive and SHYS
- Functional binding and transport of ligands
- Immunohistochemical gold standard of YST.
  However, its negativity does not exclude a diagnosis of YST. Expression is often patchy



#### **Glypican 3 (GPC3)**

- Expressed in 8-11<sup>th</sup> week SHYS, but also in developing liver, lung, pancreas, neuroectodermal epithelium and syncytiotrophoblast.
- GPC3 is a sensitive but non-specific marker for YSTs and, to a certain extent, it parallels AFP distribution.
- Consequently, it is positive in other embryonal tumours: neuro, medullo- and nephroblastoma



- Transcription factor
- GATA3 participates in differentiation
  - Breast epithelium, urothelium
  - T-cell development

Am J Surg Pathol 2014;38:13



ø

¢.

et)

0

-

100 m

E

6

.....

-

5130 G

0

20%

A ST

Con in

6

8

0

T

. .





#### Germ cell tumours

J. Prat D. Cao S.G. Carinelli F.F. Nogales R. Vang C.J. Zaloudek

#### Yolk sac tumour

#### Definition

ICD-O code

Yolk sac tumour is a primitive germ cell tumour with a variety of distinctive patterns and which may also exhibit differentiation into endodermal structures, ranging from the primitive gut and mesenchyme to the derivatives of extra-embryonal (secondary yolk sac and allantois) and embryonal somatic tissues (intestine, liver and mesenchyme) {1373}.

9071/3



Mid&Foregut: CDX2+)

Macroscopy These tumours are large, soft and usually

#### WHO 2014



#### Classic microcystic


#### Endodermal sinus: a historical terminology NOT to be used







 1: Heifetz et al. Immature teratomas in children: pathologic considerations: a report from the combined Pediatric Oncology Group/Children's Cancer Group. Am J Surg Pathol 1998;22:1115.

....morphologic diagnoses that were most frequently misinterpreted by contributing pathologists included the failure to recognize two well-differentiated patterns of YST (the hepatoid pattern resembling fetal liver and the well-differentiated glandular pattern resembling fetal lung or intestine).



#### Hepatoid

PROOR

# HepPar-1

#### Histopathology



Histopathology 2014 DOI: 10.1111/his.12373

#### A diagnostic immunohistochemical panel for yolk sac (primitive endodermal) tumours based on an immunohistochemical comparison with the human yolk sac

Francisco F Nogales,<sup>1</sup> Enoe Quiñonez,<sup>1</sup> Laura López-Marín,<sup>2</sup> Isabel Dulcey<sup>1</sup> & Ovidiu Preda<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Departments of Pathology, San Cecilio University Hospital, Granada, Spain, <sup>2</sup>Dr Abelardo Buch López Institute of Nephrology, Havana, Cuba, and <sup>3</sup>Master Diagnostica, Granada, Spain

Date of submission 29 November 2013 Accepted for publication 15 January 2014 Published online *Article Accepted* 10 January 2014

Nogales F F, Quiñonez E, López-Marín L, Dulcey I, Preda O (2014) *Histopathology* 



H, heterogeneous; D, diffuse; F, focal; ND, not done.



Immunohistochemical staining results

Histology	AFP	GPC3	HepPar-1	CDX2	Villin	TTF-1	SALL4	LIN28
Classical patterns Microcystic/reticular	14/14 H	14/14 D	14/14 F	14/14 F	14/14 D	_	13/13D	10/10 D
Polyvesicular	1/1 H	1/1 D	1/1 F	1/1 F	1/1 D	1/1	1/1 D	1/1 D
Hepatoid	1/1 H	1/1 D	1/1 D	1/1 H	1/1 D	_	1/1 D	1/1 H
Somatic glandular patterns	7/9 F	7/9 H	7/9 F	7/9 H	9/9D	3/5F	8/9 D	4/5 D
Normal human yolk sac <sup>6</sup>	D	D	D	D	D	ND	D	1/1 (5th week) 0/6 (7–8th weeks)

H, heterogeneous; D, diffuse; F, focal; ND, not done.



minunoniscochemical stanning results

Histology	AFP	GPC3	HepPar-1	CDX2	Villin	TTF-1	SALL4	LIN28
Classical patterns Microcystic/reticular	14/14 H	14/14 D	14/14 F	14/14 F	14/14 D	_	13/13D	10/10 D
Polyvesicular	1/1 H	1/1 D	1/1 F	1/1 F	1/1 D	1/1	1/1 D	1/1 D
Hepatoid	1/1 H	1/1 D	1/1 D	1/1 H	1/1 D	_	1/1 D	1/1 H
Somatic glandular patterns	7/9 F	7/9 H	7/9 F	7/9 H	9/9D	3/5F	8/9 D	4/5 D
Normal human yolk sac <sup>6</sup>	D	D	D	D	D	ND	D	1/1 (5th week) 0/6 (7–8th weeks)

H, heterogeneous; D, diffuse; F, focal; ND, not done.

Interpretation problems in special patterns.

- In absence of classical patterns
- In older age groups
  - Associated to somatic tumours

#### Markers differentiate YST from somatic tumours



- In absence of classical patterns
- In older age groups
  - Associated to somatic tumours
  - Gastric carcinoma metastases

#### Lt. ovarian tumour 19yr





Clear-cell, alpha-foetoprotein-producing gastric carcinoma with hepatoid differentiation.

- Glandular patterns
  - Compact glands
  - Vacuolated (intestinal)
  - Papillary



# GATA3



![](_page_93_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### AFP

## HepPar1

- Glandular patterns
  - Compact glands
  - Vacuolated (intestinal)
  - Papillary
- Mimicking intestinal-type mucinous tumours

![](_page_95_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_96_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### 20cm ovarian tumour in a 24 yr old

![](_page_97_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_98_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Glandular patterns
  - Compact glands
  - Vacuolated (intestinal)
  - Papillary
- Mimicking intestinal-type mucinous tumours

#### Solid

![](_page_100_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Glandular patterns
  - Compact glands
  - Vacuolated (intestinal)
- Mimicking intestinal-type mucinous tumours
- Solid
- Carcinoid-associated

![](_page_102_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Embryonal Carcinoma**

![](_page_103_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Mixed germ cell tumour

![](_page_104_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Immature teratomas**

Grade 1	Immature neuroectoderm $\leq$ 1lpf (4x)
Grade 2	Immature neuroectoderm > 1lpf ≤ 3cba (4x)
Grade 3	Immature neuroectoderm > 3lpf (4x)

![](_page_105_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Immature teratoma

Immature teratomas usually present with non-specific mass related symptoms but occasionally the history is noteworthy because an ipsilateral dermoid cyst has been resected previously.<sup>46</sup> The risk of an immature teratoma in such patients may be increased if the dermoid cysts are bilateral, multiple, or associated with rupture.<sup>47</sup> The median diameter is over 15 cm and the predominantly solid cut surface is fleshy, gray to pink, often with associated variably sized cysts, focal haemorrhage and necrosis (Figure 14). Although an associated dermoid cyst is grossly evident in 25% of tumours, the overall features are in most cases in marked contrast to those of dermoid cysts.

The tumours are graded based on the degree of immaturity of the neural tissue (Figure 15). Grade 1 tumours contain rare foci of immature neural tissue (<1 low-power-field [LPF] in any one slide), while grade 2 and grade 3 tumours contain 2–3 LPFs or 4 or more LPFs of immature neural tissue in any one slide respectively. A 2-grade system has been proposed: low-grade (grade 1) and high-grade (grades 2 and 3) based on outcome, as patients with grade 1 immature teratomas do not need adjuvant treatment and have a good outcome.<sup>48</sup> Embryoid bodies may be present in immature teratoma, and in fact they are not an uncommon finding (Figure 16). They reflect high-grade imma-

# **Immature tubular structures in IT**

Neural tubules


### SALL4



### Immature tubular structures in IT

- Neural tubules
- Nephrogenic tubules



### Immature tubular structures in IT

- Neural tubules
- Nephrogenic tubules
- Endodermal tubules

Immature endodermal areas are also present in high grade immature teratoma and its presence may imply aggressive behaviour



### Immature teratoma and endodermal areas

 1: Heifetz et al. Immature teratomas in children: pathologic considerations: a report from the combined Pediatric Oncology Group/Children's Cancer Group. Am J Surg Pathol 1998;22:1115.

Overall 2- to 6-year survival rate was 96% and was related to the presence of YST....

....the presence of microscopic foci of YST, rather than the grade of IT, *per se*, is the only valid predictor of recurrence in pediatric IT at any site.







#### Villin

#### SOX2

# Embryonal Carcinoma-like areas can be present in high grade immature teratomas









#### **Immature mesenchyme in IT**



### **Immature teratoma grading**

- Should be comprehensive of neural/endodermal/mesenchymal immature areas
- Grading facilitated by PPM expression analysis (SALL4/SOX2/OCT4)
- General assessment of tissue immaturity rather than mixed GCT

### **PNET and IT (MT)**



### **Classification conundrums**





Comparative Immunohistochemical Expression in Malignant Ovarian Germ Cell Tumors of Classic, Pluripotency, and Somatic Differentiation Markers												
	Immunohistochemical Markers											
	Classic					Pluripotency			Somatic Differentiation			
Tumor	PLAP	CD30	AFP	GLP3	D2-40	OCT3/4	SOX2	SALL4	Villin	CDX2	HepPar-1	TTF1
Dysgerminoma Yolk sac tumor	+ +/-		-+	-+	++/-	+	_	+++++	- + INT	- + INT	- + HEP	– + FRG
Immature teratoma	-	—	+ END	- + NEP	+ STR	-	- + NEP	+	- + INT	- + END	-	-
Embryonal carcinoma Choriocarcinoma	+ -	+ -	_	+ Focal	+/- Apical -	+ -	+	+ -	NA —	_	_	_
	+SYNC			+SYNC								

Abbreviations: AFP, α-fetoprotein; END, endodermal; FRG, foregut; GLP3, grypican3; HEP, nepatic; INT, intestinal; NA, not available; NEP, neuroepithelium; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase; STR, stroma; SYNC, syncytiotrophoblast; TTF1, thyroid transcription factor 1.



## FIGO staging, cancer datasets and the ICCR

Dr Lynn Hirschowitz



1. Cancer staging (including FIGO)

2. Specific points about FIGO staging

3. International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR)

 New ICCR ovarian/fallopian tube/ primary peritoneal carcinoma dataset

# 1. General principal for cancer staging systems

- Stage I: tumour that is strictly confined to the organ of origin
- Stage II: tumour that has extended locally beyond the site of origin to involve adjacent organs or structures
- Stage III: more extensive local involvement or infiltration of neighbouring organs
- Stage IV: tumour with distant metastases

# General principal for all staging systems

- 4 basic stages divided into substages to reflect tumour-specific clinical, pathological or biological prognostic factors within a given stage.
- Most staging systems have moved from clinical staging to 'surgico-pathological' staging (apart from cervical cancer and gestational trophoblastic disease).

### FIGO staging system

- Internationally agreed system for gynaecological cancers
- FIGO staging predates other systems
- Annual reports and 'horizon scanning' to provide evidence for revisions
- FIGO Committee for Gynecologic Oncology

### Members FIGO Gynaecological Oncology Committee 2012-2015

- Professor Lynette Denny (Chair), South Africa
- Professor Michael Quinn (Co-Chair), Australia
- Professor Sergio Pecorelli, Italy
- Dr Adriana Bermudez, Argentina
- Dr David Mutch, USA
- Professor Neville Hacker, Australia
- Professor Jaime Prat, Spain
- Professor Elisabeth Åvall Lundqvist, Sweden
- Professor Joanna Cain, USA
- Professor Keiichi Fujiwara, Japan
- Dr Shyam Kishore Shrivastava, India
- Professor Muhieddine A-F Seoud, Lebanon
- Dr Neerja Bhatla, India

### FIGO, AJCC, TNM/UICC

- Reciprocal representation
- Collaboration but no agreed co-ordination of timing of revisions
- TNM staging focus remains 'anatomic'
- AJCC staging moves to include 'nonanatomic' data
- FIGO position; dictated by prognosis

### On the FIGO radar -

#### Possible issues:

- Stage III vulval cancer
- LVI in cervical cancer
- Extracapsular invasion and LN metastases in cervical cancer
- Stage I endometrial cancer

# 2. Specific points about FIGO staging - vulva

- Poor spread of prognostic groupings with1988 surgical staging system for stage III.
- Importance of lymph node status, number of positive nodes, size of deposits, extracapsular extension recognised in 2009 revision.
- Tumour size: node negative IB and II combined (IB).

### Specific points about FIGO staging - cervix

- Clinically staged but FIGO recognises importance of pre-treatment clinical staging.
- Use of COSD in UK.
- Stage IIA subdivided to take account tumour size (4 cm or > 4cm).
- Recording of lymph node involvement.

### Specific points about FIGO staging - uterus

**Clinical scenario:** 

60 mm uterine tumour; outer half myometrial invasion; cervical stromal infiltration; parametrial lymphovascular invasion.

What is the FIGO stage if this is:

- Carcinosarcoma
- Leiomyosarcoma
- Adenosarcoma

### Specific points about FIGO staging - uterus

Carcinosarcoma (= metaplastic carcinoma)

- Staged in the same way as endometrial carcinoma
- Lymphovascular invasion without tissue invasion does not count towards staging
- [Size is a predictor of poor prognosis/ 'peritoneal failure' for carcinomas]
- Cervical stromal involvement is FIGO stage II




# Leiomyosarcoma





AJCC 2013

## Specific points about FIGO staging - uterus

#### Leiomyosarcoma

- Staged in the same way as endometrial stromal sarcoma (usually myometrial based)
- Cervical involvement contributes to prognosis but not to stage
- Lymphovascular invasion without tissue invasion does not count towards staging
- Tumour size is important for staging
- FIGO stage = IB (>5 cm)

### Adenosarcoma



AJCC 2013

# Specific points about FIGO staging - uterus

#### Adenosarcoma

- Usually endometrial based.
- Stage I = same as 1988 system for endometrial carcinoma.
- Cervical involvement does not contribute to stage.
- Size is not important for staging.
- Lymphovascular invasion without tissue invasion does not count towards staging.
- FIGO stage = IC (outer  $\frac{1}{2}$  myoinvasion).

# Specific points about FIGO staging – uterine sarcomas

- Corrigendum published in 2009
- Undifferentiated endometrial/uterine sarcoma
- Pure heterologous uterine sarcoma

# Specific points about FIGO staging – ovary/FT/PPCa

- Subdivision of stage IC.
- No evidence to support upstaging because of adhesions.
- Stage IIIA no evidence that size of nodal deposits (≤10 mm; >10 mm) is significant.
- Intraperitoneal node involvement = IIIC
- Cytological node involvement of unknown size
  = Stage IIIA1(i)



### International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting





#### **International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting**

Strategic Alliances: UICC FIGO AJCC EORTC IARC

Alignment of ICCR dataset development with the IARC revision of the 'Blue book' series



# Development of evidence-based ICCR cancer datasets

- Robust protocols for dataset development.
- Evidentiary support at NHMRC Level III-2 or above.
- Two key dataset components:
- REQUIRED elements, essential for histological diagnosis, clinical management, staging, prognosis.
- RECOMMENDED elements, non-mandatory, clinically important; recommended as good practice but not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.



4. Development of dataset for carcinoma of the ovary, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal site

- Single dataset for all 3 sites.
- Incorporates 2014 WHO classification of tumours of the female reproductive organs.
- Incorporates 2013 FIGO staging.
- Includes guidance about site assignment of primary tumours.
- Includes guidance about chemotherapy response score/CRS (tumour regression grading).





# Failure to detect the tubal fimbria implies overgrowth by tumour\* Apply criteria as specified in the commentary

### Primary peritoneal carcinoma

- Diagnosis only after complete examination of the fallopian tubes (including the non-fimbrial portions)
- Ovaries must be of normal size or enlarged by a benign process
- Involvement in extra-ovarian sites > involvement on the surface of either ovary
- Ovarian tumour involvement must be non-existent, confined to ovarian surface without stromal invasion or involve the cortical stroma with tumour size less than 5 x 5 mm.

### Chemotherapy Response Score

- Applies to serous carcinomas only.
- Score on a single H&E-stained section.
- Use single block of involved omental tissue with least response to chemotherapy.
- Assess *viable* tumour. The presence of fibrosis may be helpful in marking the site of previous tumour infiltration.
- 3-tier system: as a guide, >95% of tumour should be viable for a score of 1, and <5% for a score of 3.

### **Chemotherapy Response Score (CRS)**

Score	Criterion	<b>Tumour Regression Grading</b>
1	Mainly viable tumour with minimal	No or minimal tumour
	regression-associated fibro-	response
	inflammatory changes* limited to a few	
	foci.	
2	Multifocal or diffuse regression-	Partial tumour response
	associated fibro-inflammatory changes,	
	with viable tumour ranging from	
	diffuse sheets, streaks or nodules, to	
	extensive regression with multifocal	
	but easily identifiable residual tumour.	
3	Mainly regression, with few irregularly	Complete or near-complete
	scattered individual tumour cells or cell	response
	groups (all measuring less than 2 mm),	
	or no residual tumour identified.	

\* Regression-associated fibro-inflammatory changes: fibrosis associated with macrophages, including foam cells, mixed inflammatory cells and psammoma bodies; to be distinguished from tumour-related inflammation or desmoplasia.

Epidemiology and population health management Monitoring of screening programmes International comparison of patient outcomes National comparison of patient outcomes **Robust data for translational and clinical research** Accurate registration of cancer specific data **Correct patient prognosis Optimum patient management** Accurate diagnosis and tumour stage