Immunohistochemistry for problem solving (and causing problems)

Cecily Quinn MD, FRCPath, FRCPI, FFPath

Clinical Professor, School of Medicine, University College Dublin & Consultant Histopathologist, Irish National Breast Screening Programme, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland









John Azzopardi



INVASIVE TUMOUR TYPE

LVI or DCIS with retraction ?



GLANDULAR & PAPILLARY LESIONS

EVALUATION OF METASTASES

Antibodies for diagnosis

- Myoepithelial cell markers p63, SMM-HC, Calponin, SM actin
- Cytokeratin family
 AE1/3, Cam 5.2, CK5/6, CK7, CK20 etc
- E-cadherin
- Breast specific antibdies
 ER, PR, Gata 3, GCDFP-15, mammoglobin
- Others

B-catenin, CD34 etc

Benign vs malignant glandular lesions

Radial scar



Nipple duct adenoma





Mimics carcinoma

- Ulcerated nipple clinically
- Infiltrative lesion microscopically



Tubular carcinoma



ER

- Single cell layer
- Apical snouts
- Extend into fat

Microglandular adenosis



MGA = low grade triple negative tumour with potential to progess

Myoepithelial cell markers

| Marker | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| p63* | Excellent | Excellent |
| SM actin** | Good | Poor |
| Calponin** | Excellent | Poor |
| SM myosin** | Good | Excellent |

* May be difficult to see due to me cell attenuation around enlarged ducts
** Myofibroblast staining

Calponin

3

Papillary lesions

Intraduct papilloma



Encapsulated papillary carcinoma



No ME cells lining fv cores +/- at periphery

Solid papillary carcinoma



No ME cells within lesion +/- at periphery





Encapsulated papillary carcinoma Dimorphic variant

- Epithelial cells in direct contact with fibrovascular cores morphologically different - 'globoid'
- May mimic ME cells
- Erroneous diagnosis of benign papilloma
- •ME marker negative



UDH versus ADH/DCIS



The distinction between ADH and DCIS relies on morphology and not on IHC



DCIS in TDLU



Sclerosing adenosis vs invasive carcinoma



Nodular arrangement at low power

DCIS in sclerosing adenosis vs invasive carcinoma



DCIS in sclerosing adenosis vs invasive carcinoma



DCIS vs invasive carcinoma



Reduced expression of DCIS associated MEC vs normal MEC

| Myoepithelial cell marker | % DCIS cases with reduced expression (n = 56) |
|------------------------------|---|
| Smooth muscle actin | 0% |
| Calponin | 16% |
| SMM HC | 76% |
| p63 | 10% |

Phenotypic Alterations in Ductal Carcinoma In Situ-associated Myoepithelial Cells: Biologic and Diagnostic Implications

Justin B. Hilson; Stuart J. Schnitt; Laura C. Collins

Am J Surg Pathol 2009

% DCIS vs % invasive carcinoma



Quality Assurance in Breast Pathology

Lessons Learned From a Review of Amended Reports

| Case No. | Specimen | Original Diagnosis | Amended Diagnosis | Discoverer | Mechanism of Discovery | Time to Discovery, o |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Downgrade | ed diagnoses | | | | | |
| 1 | Core biopsy | IDC, DCIS | DCIS in sclerosing adenosis | Pathologist | Surgical excision | 35.1 |
| 2* | Core biopsy | IDC | DCIS in sclerosing adenosis | Pathologist | Surgical excision | 78.9 |
| 3 | Excision | IDC | DCIS in sclerosing adenosis | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 6.2 |
| Upgraded | diagnoses | | | | | |
| 4 | Core biopsy | DCIS | IDC | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 4.1 |
| 5 | Core biopsy | DCIS | IDC, DCIS | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 4 |
| 6 | Mastectomy | DCIS | IDC, DCIS | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 3 |
| 7 | Excision | DCIS | DCIS with microinvasion | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 2.1 |
| 8 | Core biopsy | LCIS | Microinvasive lobular carcinoma | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 2 |
| 9 | Excision | DCIS with microinvasion | IDC, DCIS | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 16.8 |
| 10 | Mastectomy SLNB | No lymph node metastases | Micrometastatic carcinoma | Pathologist | Other ancillary studies | 0.3 |
| Changed d | iagnoses | | | | | |
| 11 | Excision | DCIS in complex sclerosing lesion | ADH in complex sclerosing lesion | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 13 |
| 12 | Re-excision | DCIS | Severe ADH | Pathologist | Predictive factor reporting | 3 |
| 13 | Core biopsy | IDC | DLBCL | Pathologist | Surgical excision | 19.9 |
| 14 | Core biopsy | Fibroadenoma, fat necrosis | Amyloidoma | Pathologist | Intradepartmental consultation | 5 |

Abbreviations: ADH, atypical ductal hyperplasia; DCIS, ductal carcinoma in situ; DLBCL, diffuse, large B-cell lymphoma; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; LCIS, lobular carcinoma in situ; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy.

* Cases 2 and 3 represent a core biopsy and a subsequent excision of the same lesion.

Lymphovascular invasion or DCIS with retraction?





Invasive lobular carcinoma



E-cadherin

- Cell adhesion molecule
- Regulated by CDH1 gene
- Loss of expression observed in 85% ILC
- Characteristic dyscohesive cell arrangement
- 15% ILC e-cadherin positive
- Do not change diagnosis!
- Other catenin complex proteins lost
- p120 catenin cytoplasmic staining

Pleomorphic LCIS



Alternatives to primary breast carcinoma

Metastases

Lymphoma

CLUES

- Multiple lesions
- Circumscribed outline
- Unusual morphology
- No DCIS
- Triple negative
- Significant history

Metastases

- o **Melanoma**
- o Lung
- Ovary
- o Sarcoma
- Prostate
- Kidney
- Stomach
- Lymphoma
- Primary
- Secondary







Histopathology

Histopathology 2016, 68, 33-44. DOI: 10.1111/his.12865



REVIEW

An approach to the diagnosis of spindle cell lesions of the breast

Emad A Rakha, Mohammed A Aleskandarany, Andrew H S Lee & Ian O Ellis Department of Histopathology. Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Nottingham, UK

Rakha E A, Aleskandarany M A, Lee A H S & Ellis I O (2016) Histopathology 68, 33–44. DOI: 10.1111/his.12865

An approach to the diagnosis of spindle cell lesions of the breast

Although most breast spindle cell lesions (BSCLs) are rare, they constitute a wide spectrum of diseases, ranging from reactive processes to aggressive malignant tumours. Despite their varied histogenesis and behaviour, some lesions show an overlap of morphological features, making accurate diagnosis a challenging task, particularly in needle core biopsies. Clinical history and immunohistochemistry can help in making a correct diagnosis in morphologically challenging cases. To make an accurate diagnosis, it is important to maintain a wide differential diagnosis and be familiar with the diverse morphological appearances of these different entities. BSCLs can generally be classified into bland-looking and malignantlooking categories. In the former, the commonest diagnosis is scarring. However, it is important to distinguish low-grade spindle cell metaplastic breast carcinoma from other benign entities, as the management is clearly different. In the malignant category, it is important to differentiate metaplastic carcinoma from other malignant primary and metastatic malignant spindle cell tumours of the breast, such as malignant phyllodes tumour, angiosarcoma, and melanoma. This review focuses on the classification and histological and molecular diagnosis of various BSCLs, with an emphasis on the diagnostic approach, including in core biopsies.

Keywords: breast, core biopsy, diagnosis, immunohistochemistry, spindle cell lesions, update

Immunohistochemistry

- Use a panel of antibodies
- Interpret in the light of morphology and clinical context
- Beware of the pitfalls

Bland looking pure SCLs

Fibromatosis – like MBC



AE1/3

Cytokeratin + p63 positive +/-SMA frequently + *CD34 -ER, PR and Her2 -

Malignant looking pure SCLs

Metaplastic spindle cell carcinoma



Cytokeratin (CK)

- Firstline IHC if considering MBC
- Use a panel of antibodies
- Staining may be focal or absent in mbc p63 useful especially if CK negative Repeat on excision if CK negative on NCB
- MBC may co-express mesenchymal markers
- Focal CK positivity possible in PT stroma and in leiomyosarcoma

Application of Immunohistochemistry in Undifferentiated Neoplasms: A Practical Approach.



Gata -3

- Transcription factor in luminal epithelial cells
- Expressed in <u>90%</u> breast tumours

Also present in

Range of normal tissues Other tumours • Urothelial

- o Renal
- o Mesothelioma
- o Paraganglioma



GCDFP-15

- 15 kDa glycoprotein
- Expressed in <u>50 -70%</u> breast tumours
- Also expressed in
 Skin appendage tumours
 Salivary gland tumours
 Some lung tumours
 Some prostate tumours



- Expression linked to hormone receptors
- Positivity rate higher in luminal & HER2+ tumours compared with triple negative

Mammoglobin

- 10.5 kDa secretory protein
- Expressed in <u>50-70%</u> breast tumours
- Also expressed in
 Skin appendage tumours
 Salivary gland tumours
 Some GYN tumours
 Some melanomas



ER & PR

- Nuclear transcription factors
- Regulate normal breast development
- ER expressed in <u>80%</u> breast tumours
- PR expressed in <u>65%</u>
- Also expressed in Other tumours
 - o Ovary
 - o Endometrium
 - o Stomach
 - o Lung
 - o Thyroid
 - Neuroendocrine tumours



Antibodies in breast carcinoma

| Frequently | Sometimes | Usually |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|
| positive | Positive | negative |
| Cytokeratin 7 | | Cytokeratin 20 |
| ER, PR | S100 | HMB45, Melan A |
| Gata 3 | WT1 | PAX 8 |
| GCDFP-15 | TTF1 | Napsin |
| Mammoglobin | | LCA |
| | | PSA |

Conclusions

- Immunohistochemistry is very helpful in the diagnosis of breast pathology
- Always begin by carefully evaluating the H&E appearances
- Beware of the IHC pitfalls